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## Definition

We denote with $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w})$ the estimate of average happiness for each word $\mathbf{w} \in \operatorname{labMT}$.
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## Example

Use words the have happiness ranking between 7 and 9, highlights the positive aspect of a text.
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## Example

"we've", "you've": two distinct words
(1) Convert all characters to lower case.
(3) Remove special characters such as : .,?-:

- Replace with gaps.
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-Load the labMT.
-For each Constitution $c$ :
(1) Create the set of words $C$ that are in the constitution $c$
(2) Compute the frequency $f(w)$, for each word $w$ in $C$.
(3) We define $N$ as the set of words that are both in $C$ and in labMT: $N=C \bigcap l a b M T$.
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( The ranking of the constitution $c$ can then computed by:
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$$

We denote by $h_{\text {avg (c) }}$ the happiness ranking of each constitution.
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## Pearson Correlation

Factor
Limited Government Powers
Absence of Corruption
Order and Security
Fundamental Rights
Open Government
Regulatory Enforcement
Civil Justice
Criminal Justice
What it means:
Correlation
None
Small
Medium
Strong

Negative -0.09 to 0.0
-0.3 to $-0.1 \quad 0.1$ to 0.3 -0.5 to $-0.3 \quad 0.3$ to 0.5
-1.0 to $-0.5 \quad 0.5$ to 1.0
Positive
0.0 to 0.09

| Medium | -0.5 to -0.3 | 0.3 to 0.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strong | -1.0 to -0.5 | 0.5 to 1.0 |


| $\Delta \mathrm{H}=0$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=2$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=3$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.13 | -0.15 | 0.09 | 0.24 |
| -0.10 | -0.15 | 0.01 | 0.26 |
| 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.20 |
| -0.11 | -0.16 | -0.03 | 0.16 |
| -0.11 | -0.16 | 0.04 | 0.30 |
| -0.19 | -0.21 | -0.04 | 0.27 |
| -0.18 | -0.19 | -0.03 | 0.20 |
| -0.07 | -0.02 | 0.1 | 0.20 |

